arXiv:1409.8316v2 [math.GT] 14 Oct 2014

THE LS-CATEGORY OF THE PRODUCT OF LENS SPACES

ALEXANDER DRANISHNIKOV

Abstract. We reduce Rudyak's conjecture that a degree one map between closed manifolds cannot raise the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category to the computation of the category of the product of two lens spaces $L^n_p \times L^n_q$ with relatively prime p and q. We have computed $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q)$ for values of $p, q > n/2$. It turns out that our computation supports the conjecture.

For spin manifolds M we establish a criterion for the equality $\operatorname{cat} M = \dim M - 1$ which is a K-theoretic refinement of the Katz-Rudyak criterion for $\operatorname{cat} M = \dim M$. We apply it to obtain the inequality $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) \le 2n - 2$ for all odd n and odd relatively prime p and q.

1. Introduction

This paper was motivated by the following conjecture from Rudyak's paper [R1]

1.1. **Conjecture.** *A degree one map between closed manifolds cannot raise the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category.*

It is known that degree one maps $f : M \to N$ between manifolds tend to have the domain more complex than the image. The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category is a numerical invariant that measures the complexity of a space. Thus, Rudyak's conjecture that $\operatorname{cat} M \ge \operatorname{cat} N$ for a degree one map $f : M \to N$ is quite natural. In [R1] (see also the book [CLOT], page 65) Rudyak obtained some partial results supporting the conjecture. In particular, he proved the following

1.2. **Theorem** ([R1])**.** *Let $f : M \to N$ be a degree ± 1 map between closed stably parallelizable n-manifolds, $n \ge 4$, such that $2 \operatorname{cat} N \ge n + 4$. Then $\operatorname{cat} M \ge \operatorname{cat} N$.*

In this paper we reduce Rudyak's conjecture to the following question about the LS-category of the product of two n-dimensional lens spaces ($n = 2k - 1$).

1.3. **Problem.** *Do there exist n and relatively prime p and q such that*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) > n + 1 \ ?$$

We show that an affirmative answer to this problem gives a counter-example to Rudyak's conjecture.

This paper is devoted to computation of the category of the product $L^n_p \times L^n_q$ of lens spaces for relatively prime p and q. Here we use an abbreviated notation $L^n_p = L^n_p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ for a general lens space of dimension $n = 2k-1$ defined for the linear $\mathbb{Z}_p$-action on $S^n \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ determined by the set of natural numbers $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ with $(p, \ell_i) = 1$ for all i.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1304627. The author would like to thank the Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik for the hospitality.

The obvious inequality $\operatorname{cat} X \leq \dim X$ and the cup-length lower bound [CLOT] give the estimates

$$(*) \qquad n+1 \leq \operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) \leq 2n.$$

In this paper we prove that for fixed n almost always the lower bound is sharp.

1.4. **Theorem.** *For every $n = 2k-1$ and primes $p, q \geq k$, $p \neq q$, for all lens spaces L^n_p and L^n_q,*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) = n+1.$$

This result still leaves some hope to have $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) > n+1$ for small values of p (especially for $p = 2$) for some lens spaces.

In the second part of the paper we make an improvement of the upper bound in $(*)$. The first improvement comes easy by virtue of the Katz-Rudyak criterion [KR]: *For a closed m-manifold M the inequality $\operatorname{cat}(M) \leq m-1$ holds if and only if M is inessential.* We recall that Gromov calls a m-manifold M *inessential* if a map $u : M \to B\pi$ that classifies its universal covering can be deformed to the $(m-1)$-dimensional skeleton $B\pi^{(m-1)}$. Since for relatively prime p and q the product $L^n_p \times L^n_q$ is inessential, we have $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) \leq 2n-1$. In the paper we improve this inequality to the following:

1.5. **Theorem.** *For all odd n and odd relatively prime p and q,*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) \leq 2n-2.$$

For that we study a general question: When the LS-category of a closed spin m-manifold M is less than $m-1$? We proved that for a closed m-manifolds M with $\pi_2(M) = 0$ the inequality $\operatorname{cat} M \leq m-2$ holds if and only if the map $u : M \to B\pi$ can be deformed to the $(m-2)$-dimensional skeleton $B\pi^{(m-2)}$. A deformation of a classifying map of a manifold to the $(m-2)$-skeleton $B\pi^{(m-2)}$ is closely related to Gromov's conjecture on manifolds with positive scalar curvature and it was investigated in [BD]. Combining this with some ideas from [BD] we produce a criterion for when a closed spin m-manifold M has $\operatorname{cat} M \leq m-2$. The criterion involves the vanishing of the integral homology and ko-homology fundamental classes of M under a map classifying the universal covering of M.

1.6. **Theorem** (Criterion)**.** *For a closed spin inessential m-manifold M with $\pi_2(M) = 0$,*

$$\operatorname{cat} M \leq \dim M - 2$$

if and only if $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$ where $j : B\pi \to B\pi/B\pi^{(m-2)}$ is the quotient map.

Since a closed orientable manifold M is inessential if and only if $u_*([M]) = 0$ in $H_*(B\pi)$ [Ba], the Katz-Rudyak criterion for orientable manifolds can be rephrased as follows: $\operatorname{cat} M \leq m-1$ if and only if $u_*([M]) = 0$. Thus, our criterion is a further refinement of the Katz-Rudyak criterion.

It turns out that the vanishing of $u_*([M])$ in $H_*(B\pi)$ makes the primary obstruction to a deformation of $u : M \to B\pi$ to $B\pi^{(m-2)}$ trivial. It is not difficult to show that the second obstruction lives in the group of coinvariants $\pi_m(B\pi, B\pi)_\pi$ (see [BD]). We prove that the group of coinvariants $\pi_m(B\pi, B\pi^{(m-2)})_\pi$ naturally injects into the homotopy group $\pi_m(B\pi/B\pi^{(m-2)})$. This closes a gap in the computation of the second obstruction in [BD]. Based on that injectivity result we use the real connective K-theory to express the second obstruction in terms of the

image of the ko-fundamental class. The spin condition is needed for the existence of a fundamental class in ko-theory.

The new upper bound implies that $\operatorname{cat}(L^3_p \times L^3_q) = 4$ for all p and q. Note that for prime p and q this fact can be also derived from Theorem 1.4.

We complete the paper with a proof of the upper bound formula for the category of a connected sum of two manifolds:

1.7. **Theorem.**

$$\operatorname{cat} M\#N \leq \max\{\operatorname{cat} M, \operatorname{cat} N\}.$$

Since we use this formula in the paper and its original proof in [N] does not cover all cases, we supply an alternative proof.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **LS-category.** The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category $\operatorname{cat} X \leq k$ for a topological space X if there is a cover $X = U_0 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$ by $k+1$ open subsets each of which is contractible in X. The subsets contractible in X will be called in this note X-*contractible* and the covers of X by X-contractible sets will be called *categorical*.

Let $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. We recall that the cup product $\alpha \smile \beta$ of twisted cohomology classes $\alpha \in H^i(X; L)$ and $\beta \in H^j(X; M)$ takes value in $H^{i+j}(X; L \otimes M)$ where L and M are π-modules and $L \otimes M$ is the tensor product over $\mathbb{Z}$ [Bro]. Then the cup-length of X, denoted as $c.l.(X)$, is defined as the maximal integer k such that $\alpha_1 \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_k \neq 0$ for some $\alpha_i \in H^{n_i}(X; L_i)$ with $n_i > 0$. The following inequalities give estimates on the LS-category [CLOT]:

2.1. **Theorem.** $c.l.(X) \leq \operatorname{cat} X \leq \dim X$.

2.2. **Ganea-Schwarz approach to the LS-category.** Given two maps $f_1 : X_1 \to Y$ and $f_2 : X_2 \to Y$, we set

$$Z = \{(x_1, x_2, t) \in X_1 * X_2 \mid f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)\}$$

and define the *fiberwise join*, or *join over* Y of f_1, f_2 as the map

$$f_1 *_Y f_2 : Z \to Y, \quad (f_1 *_X f_2)(x_1, x_2, t) = f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2).$$

Let $p_0^X : PX \to X$ be the Serre path fibration. This means that PX is the space of paths on X that start at the base point $x_0 \in X$, and $p_0(\alpha) = \alpha(1)$. We denote by $p_n^X : G_n(X) \to X$ the iterated fiberwise join of $n+1$ copies of p_0^X. Thus, the fiber $F_n = (p_n^X)^{-1}(x_0)$ of the fibration p_n^X is the join product $\Omega X * \cdots * \Omega X$ of $n+1$ copies of the loop space ΩX on X. So, F_n is $(n-1)$-connected. It is known that $G_n(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to the mapping cone of the inclusion of the fiber $F_{n-1} \to G_{n-1}(X)$.

When $X = K(\pi, 1)$, the loop space ΩX is naturally homotopy equivalent to π and the space $G_n(\pi) = G_n(K(\pi, 1))$ has the homotopy type of a n-dimensional complex.

The proof of the following theorem can be found in [CLOT].

2.2. **Theorem** (Ganea, Schwarz)**.** *For a CW-space X, $\operatorname{cat}(X) \leq n$ if and only if there exists a section of $p_n^X : G_n(X) \to X$.*

This theorem can be extended to maps:

2.3. **Theorem.** *For a map $f : Y \to X$ to a CW-space X, $\operatorname{cat}(f) \leq n$ if and only if there exists a lift of f with respect to $p_n^X : G_n(X) \to X$.*

We recall that the LS-category of a map $f : Y \to X$ is a minimal number k such that Y can be covered by $k+1$ open sets $U_0, \dots, U_k$ such that the restrictions $f|_{U_i}$ are null-homotopic for all i.

We use the notation $\pi_*(f) = \pi_*(M_f, X)$ where M_f is the mapping cylinder of $f : X \to Y$. Then $\pi_i(f) = 0$ for $i \le n$ amounts to say that it induces isomorphisms $f_* : \pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(Y)$ for $i \le n$ and an epimorphism in dimension $n+1$. The following was proven in [DKR].

2.4. **Proposition.** *Let $f_j : X_j \to Y_j$, $3 \le j \le s$ be a family of maps of CW-spaces such that $\pi_i(f_j) = 0$ for $i \le n_j$. Then the joins satisfy*

$$\pi_k(f_1 * f_2 * \cdots * f_s) = 0$$

for $k \le \min\{n_j\} + s - 1$.

2.3. **The Berstein-Schwarz class.** Let π be a discrete group and A be a π-module. By $H^*(\pi, A)$ we denote the cohomology of the group π with coefficients in A and by $H^*(X; A)$ we denote the cohomology of a space X with the twisted coefficients defined by A. The Berstein-Schwarz class of a group π is a certain cohomology class $\beta_\pi \in H^1(\pi, I(\pi))$ where $I(\pi)$ is the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ [Be],[Sch]. It is defined as the first obstruction to a lift of $B\pi = K(\pi, 1)$ to the universal covering $E\pi$. For a fixed set S of generators of π, the 1-skeleton of $B\pi$ is the wedge of circles labeled by S with $E\pi^{(1)}$ equals the Cayley graph C. The vertices of C can be identified with π. Then β_π is defined by a cocycle β that takes every edge $[a, b] \subset C$ to $b - a \in I(\pi)$.

Here is a more algebraic definition of β_π. Consider the cohomology long exact sequence generated by the short exact sequence of coefficients

$$0 \to I(\pi) \to \mathbb{Z}\pi \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

where ϵ is the augmentation homomorphism. Then $\beta_\pi = \delta(1)$ equals the image of the generator $1 \in H^0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ under the connecting homomorphism $\delta : H^0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(\pi; I(\pi))$.

2.5. **Theorem** (Universality [DR],[Sch])**.** *For any cohomology class $\alpha \in H^k(\pi, L)$ there is a homomorphism of π-modules $I(\pi)^k \to L$ such that the induced homomorphism for cohomology takes $(\beta_\pi)^k$ to α where $I(\pi)^k = I(\pi) \otimes \cdots \otimes I(\pi)$ and $(\beta_\pi)^k = \beta_\pi \smile \cdots \smile \beta_\pi$.*

2.6. **Corollary.** *For any group π its cohomological dimension can be expressed as follows:*

$$cd(\pi) = \max\{n \mid (\beta_\pi)^n \neq 0\}.$$

2.7. **Corollary** ([Sch])**.** *The class $(\beta_\pi)^{n+1}$ is the primary obstruction to a section of $p_n^{B\pi} : G_n(\pi) \to B\pi$.*

3. Some examples of degree one maps

Let M be an oriented manifold and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$; by kM we denote the connected sum $M\# \cdots \#M$ of $|k|$ copies of M taken with the opposite orientation if k is negative. For an odd n and natural $p > 1$ we denote by L_p^n a lens space, i.e. the orbit space $S^n/\mathbb{Z}_p$ for a free linear action of $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ on the sphere S^n.

3.1. **Theorem.** *For $m, n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$ and any relatively prime numbers p and q there are $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the manifold*

$$M = k(L^m_p \times S^n)\#l(S^m \times L^n_q)$$

admits a degree one map $\phi : M \to N$ onto $N = L^m_p \times L^n_q$.

Proof. Take k and l such that $lp + kq = 1$. Let $f : S^m \to L^m_p$ and $g : S^n \to L^n_q$ be the projections to the orbit space for $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q$ free actions respectively. We may assume that the above connected sum is obtained by taking the wedge of $(|k| + |l| - 1)$-spheres of dimension $(2n-1)$ embedded in one of the summands and gluing all other summands along those spheres. Consider the quotient map

$$\psi : k(L^m_p \times S^n)\#l(S^m \times L^n_q) \to \bigvee_k (L^m_p \times S^n) \vee \bigvee_l (S^m \times L^n_q)$$

that collapses the wedge of those $(2n-1)$-spheres to a point. Let the map

$$\phi : \bigvee_k (L^m_p \times S^n) \vee \bigvee_l (S^m \times L^n_q) \to L^m_p \times L^n_q$$

be defined as the union

$$\phi = \bigcup_l (1 \times g) \cup \bigcup_k (f \times 1).$$

Note that the degree of $f \times 1$ is p, the degree of $1 \times g$ is q, and the degree of $\phi \circ \psi$ is $lp + kq = 1$. □

3.2. **Proposition.** *For $m \le n$, $\operatorname{cat}(k(L^m_p \times S^n)\#l(S^m \times L^n_q)) = n + 1$.*

Proof. It follows from the cup-length estimate that $\operatorname{cat}(S^m \times L^n_q) \ge n + 1$ and generally, $\operatorname{cat}(k(L^m_p \times S^n)\#l(S^m \times L^n_q)) \ge n + 1$ when $l \ne 0$. By the product formula, $\operatorname{cat}(S^m \times L^n_r) \le n + 1$. Thus, $\operatorname{cat}(S^m \times L^n_r) = n + 1$. Then by the sum formula [N] (see Theorem 7.1),

$$\operatorname{cat}(k(L^m_p \times S^n)\#l(S^m \times L^n_q)) \le n + 1.$$

□

Now one can see the connection between Rudyak's conjecture and Problem 1.3. If there exist relatively prime p and q and odd n such that $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) > n + 1$, then the map of Theorem 3.1 will be a counter-example to Rudyak's conjecture.

3.3. **Remark.** In Theorem 3.1 one can use fake lens spaces. Since every fake lens space is homotopy equivalent to a lens space [Wa] and the LS-category is a homotopy invariant, it suffices to consider only the classical lens spaces.

4. On the category of the product of lens spaces

Let $\bar{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ be a set of mod p integers relatively prime to p. The lens space $L^{2k-1}_p(\bar{\ell})$ is the orbit space of the action of $\mathbb{Z}_p = \langle t \rangle$ on the unit sphere $S^{2k-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ defined by the formula:

$$t(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (e^{2\pi i \ell_1/p} z_1, \dots, e^{2pi i \ell_k/p}).$$

We note that for all k the lens spaces $L^{2k-1}_p(\bar{\ell})$ have a natural CW-complex structure with one cell in each dimension $\le 2k-1$ such that $L^{2k-1}_p(\bar{\ell})$ is the $(2k-1)$-skeleton of $L^{2k+1}_p(\bar{\ell}, \ell_{k+1})$. If $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \times S^{2k-1} \to S^{2k-1}$ is a free action which is not necessarily linear, its orbit space is called a *fake lens space* and is denoted by $L^{2k-1}_p(\alpha)$.

We recall that a closed oriented n-manifold M is called *inessential* [Gr] if a map $u : M \to B\pi = K(\pi, 1)$ that classifies its universal cover can be deformed to the $(n-1)$-dimensional skeleton $B\pi^{(n-1)}$. It is known that a closed oriented n-manifold M is essential if and only if $u_*([M]) \neq 0$ where $[M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the fundamental class [Ba],[BD].

We note that $\operatorname{cat} M = \dim M$ if and only if M is essential [KR]. Clearly, every lens space L^n_p is essential. In particular, $\operatorname{cat} L^n_p = n$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q = 0$ for relatively prime p and q, the product $L^m_p \times L^n_q$ is inessential. Hence, $\operatorname{cat}(L^m_p \times L^n_q) \leq m+n-1$ for all p and q.

4.1. **Stably parallelizable lens spaces.** First we make our computation for stably parallelizable lens spaces.

4.1. **Proposition.** *For lens spaces L^m_p and L^n_q, $m \leq n$, with $(p, q) = 1$ which are homotopy equivalent to stably parallelizable manifolds,*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^m_p \times L^n_q) = n + 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$\phi : M = k(L^m_p \times S^n) \# l(S^m \times L^n_q) \to N = L^m_p \times L^n_q$$

be the map of degree one from Theorem 3.1. Suppose that L^m_p and L^n_q are homotopy equivalent to stably parallelizable manifolds N^m_p and N^n_q respectively. Then there are homotopy equivalences $h : M' = k(N^m_p \times S^n) \# l(S^m \times N^n_q) \to M$ and $h' : N = L^n_q \to N' = N^m_p \times N^n_q$. Since a connected sum and the product of stably parallelizable manifolds are stably parallelizable (see for example [Ko]), the manifolds M' and N' are stably parallelizable. Assume that $\operatorname{cat}(L^m_p \times L^n_q) \geq n + 2$. Then

$$2 \operatorname{cat} N' = 2 \operatorname{cat}(L^m_p \times L^n_q) = 2(n+2) \geq m + n + 4 = \dim(L^m_p \times L^n_q) + 4.$$

By Theorem 1.2 applied to the map $h' \circ \phi \circ h : M' \to N'$ from Theorem 3.1 we obtain a contradiction:

$$n + 2 = \operatorname{cat} N = \operatorname{cat} N' \leq \operatorname{cat} M' = \operatorname{cat} M = n + 1.$$

□

Since all orientable 3-manifolds are stably parallelizable, we obtain

4.2. **Corollary.** *For relatively prime p and q.*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^3_p \times L^3_q) = 4.$$

There is a characterization of stable parallelizability of lens spaces [EMSS]: The lens space $L^{2k-1}_p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ is stably parallelizable if and only if $p \geq k$ and $b^{2j}_1 + \dots + b^{2j}_k = 0 \bmod p$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]$. We recall that two lens spaces $L^{2k-1}_p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ and $L^{2k-1}_p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ are homotopy equivalent [Ol] if and only if the mod p equation

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_k = \pm a^k \ell'_1 \ell'_2 \dots \ell'_k$$

has a solution $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. These conditions imply that a lens space is rarely homotopy equivalent to a stably parallelizable one. Nevertheless, it was shown in [EMSS] that each $n = 2k-1$ for infinitely many primes p there are stably parallelizable lens spaces L^n_p. Clearly, there are more chances for the existence of stably parallelizable fake lens spaces with given n and p. Thus, in [Kw] Kwak proved that for every odd $n = 2k-1$ and $p \geq k$ there is a fake n-dimensional stably parallelizable lens space.

Since every fake lens space is homotopy equivalent to a lens space [Wa], we obtain that for every $n = 2k-1$ and $p \geq k$ there is a lens space L^n_p homotopy equivalent to a stably parallelizable manifold.

4.2. **Category of classifying maps.** We recall that any map $u : X \to B\pi = K(\pi, 1)$ of a CW complex X that induces an isomorphism of the fundamental group classifies the universal covering $\tilde{X}$, i.e., $\tilde{X}$ is obtained as the pull-back of the universal covering $E\pi$ of $B\pi$ by means of u. We call such a map *a classifying map* of X.

4.3. **Proposition.** *Let $u : X \to B\pi$ be a map classifying the universal covering of a CW complex X. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\operatorname{cat}(u) \leq k$*;*

(2) u admits a lift $u' : X \to G_k(\pi)$ of u with respect to $p^\pi_n : G_k(\pi) \to B\pi$;

(3) u is homotopic to a map $f : X \to B\pi$ with $f(X) \subset B^{(k)}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is a part of Theorem 2.3.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Since $G_k(\pi)$ has the homotopy type of a k-dimensional complex, the map p^π_k can be deformed to a map p' with the image in $B\pi^{(k)}$. Then we can take $f = p' \circ u'$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) For a map $f : X \to B\pi$ with $f(X) \subset B^{(k)}$ homotopic to u we obtain $\operatorname{cat}(u) = \operatorname{cat}(f) \leq \operatorname{cat} B\pi^{(k)} \leq k$. □

4.4. **Theorem.** *Let X be an n-dimensional CW complex with a classifying map $u : X \to B\pi$ having $\operatorname{cat} u = k$ and with m-connected universal covering $\tilde{X}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{cat}(u) + m \leq n$. Then*

$$\operatorname{cat} X = \operatorname{cat}(u).$$

Proof. Clearly, $\operatorname{cat} X \geq \operatorname{cat} u$. We show that $\operatorname{cat} X \leq k = n - m$.

Note that the map p^X_k factors through the pull-back, $p^X_k = p' \circ q$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_k(X) & \xrightarrow{q} & Z & \xrightarrow{f'} & G_k(B\pi) \\ & & {\scriptstyle p'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^\pi_{n-2}} \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{u} & B\pi. \end{array}$$

The condition $\operatorname{cat} u = k$ implies that u has a lift $u' \to G_k(B\pi)$, $u = p^\pi_k u'$. Hence, p' admits a section $s : X \to Z$. Since X is n-dimensional, to show that s has a lift with respect to q it suffices to prove that the homotopy fiber F of the map q is $(n-1)$-connected. Note that the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration

$$F \to (p^X_k)^{-1}(x_0) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} (p^\pi_k)^{-1}(y_0)$$

where $\bar{f}$ is the restriction of $f' \circ q$ to the fiber $(p^X_k)^{-1}(x_0)$ coincides with that of

$$F' \to *_{k+1}\Omega(M) \xrightarrow{*\Omega(u)} *_{k+1}\Omega(B\pi).$$

Since $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $i \leq n-k$, we obtain $\pi_i(\Omega u) = 0$ for $i \leq n-k-1$. Then by Proposition 2.4, $\pi_i(*_{k+1}\Omega u) = 0$ for $i \leq k + (n-k-1) = n-1$ and hence $\pi_i(F) = 0$ for $i \leq n-1$. Thus, s admits a lift and, therefore, there is a section of p^X_k. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2 $\operatorname{cat} X \leq k$. □

4.3. **The main computation.**

4.5. **Proposition.** *For any two lens spaces $L^n_p(\bar\ell)$ and $L^n_p(\bar\mu)$ there is a map $f : L^n_p(\bar\ell) \to L^n_p(\bar\mu)$ that induces isomorphism of the fundamental groups.*

Proof. Let $q_1 : S^n \to L^n_p(\bar\ell)$ and $q_2 : S^n \to L^n_p(\bar\mu)$ be the projection onto the orbit spaces of the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_p$-actions. We note that $L^n_p(\bar\mu)$ is the n-skeleton in $L^{n+2}_p(\bar\mu, 1)$. Let $\bar q_2 : S^n + 2 \to L^n_p(\mu, 1)$ be the corresponding projection. Since in the Borel construction for $\mathbb{Z}_p$ action on S^n and S^{n+2} the projection p_1 is $(n+1)$-connected

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^n & \longleftarrow & S^n \times S^{n+2} & \longrightarrow & S^{n+2} \\ {\scriptstyle q_1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \bar q_2}\downarrow \\ L^n_p(\bar\ell) & \xleftarrow{p_1} & S^n \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S^{n+2} & \xrightarrow{p_2} & L^{n+2}(\bar\mu, 1) \end{array}$$

it admits a section $s : L^n_p(\bar\ell) \to S^n \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S^{n+2}$. Then f is a cellular approximation of $p_2 \circ s$. □

4.6. **Theorem.** *For every odd $n = 2k-1$ and distinct primes $p, q \geq k$,*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^{2k-1}_p \times L^{2k-1}_q) = n + 1.$$

Proof. Let $L^n_p = L^n_p(\bar\ell)$ and $L^n_q(\bar\ell')$ for $\bar\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ and $\bar\ell' = (\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_k)$. By Kwak's theorem (Theorem 3.1 [Kw]) there are stably parallelizable fake lens spaces $L^n_p(\alpha)$ and $L^n_q(\alpha')$. By Wall's theorem they are homotopy equivalent to lens spaces $L^n_p(\bar\mu)$ and $L^n_q(\bar\mu')$ for some $\bar\mu$ and $\bar\mu'$. By Proposition 4.1, $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p(\bar\mu) \times L^n_q(\bar\mu')) = n+1$. By Proposition 4.3, there is a classifying map $u : L^n_p(\bar\mu) \times L^n_q(\bar\mu') \to B\mathbb{Z}^{(n+1)}_{pq}$. By Proposition 4.5 there are maps $f_p : L^n_p \to L^n_p(\bar\mu)$ and $f_q : L^n_q \to L^n_q(\bar\mu')$ that induce an isomorphism of the fundamental groups. Therefore,

$$u' = u \circ (f_p \times f_q) : L^n_p \times L^n_q \to B\mathbb{Z}^{(n+1)}_{pq}$$

is a classifying map for $L^n_p \times L^n_q$. Hence, $\operatorname{cat}(u') \leq n+1$. Since the universal covering of the space $L^n_p \times L^n_q$ is $(n-1)$-connected, by Theorem 4.4 we obtain $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) = n$. □

4.7. **Remark.** When p and q are relatively prime but not necessarily prime we can prove the equality $\operatorname{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) = n+1$ with a stronger restriction $p, q \geq n+3$. We do not present the proof, since it is more technical. It consists of computation of obstructions for deforming a classifying map $u : L^n_p \times L^n_q \to B\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ to the $(n+1)$-skeleton. Vanishing of the first obstruction happens without any restriction on p and q. Since it is a curious fact on its own it is presented in the next section. The higher obstructions vanish due to the fact that cohomology groups of $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ are pq-torsions and a theorem of Serre [Se] which states that the group $\pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ has zero r-torsion component for $k < 2r-4$.

We note that Theorem 4.6 can be stated for all lens spaces L^n_p with values of n and p for which there exists a stably parallelizable fake lens space $L^n_p(\alpha)$.

4.8. **Problem.** *For which values of n and and p is there a stably parallelizable fake lens space $L^n_k(\alpha)$?*

This does not seem to happen very often when $p = 2$. At least a real $(2k-1)$-dimensionl projective space is stably parallelizable if and only if $k = 1, 2$, or 4.

5. The Berstein-Schwarz class for the product of finite cyclic groups

Let $u : L^n_p \times L^n_q \to B\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ be a classifying map. By Theorem 4.4 and the fact that $\mathrm{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) \geq n+1$, the condition $\mathrm{cat}(u) \leq n+1$ is equivalent to the equality $\mathrm{cat}(L^n_p \times L^n_q) = n+1$. By Proposition 4.3 the inequality $\mathrm{cat}(u) \leq n+1$ is equivalent to the existing of a lift u' of u with respect to $p_n : G_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}) \to B\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. In view of Corollary 2.7 the primary obstruction to such lift is $u^*(\beta^{n+2})$ where β is the Berstein-Schwarz class of $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. We prove that this obstruction is always zero and even more:

5.1. **Theorem.** *For all n, and all relatively prime p and q,*

$$u^*(\beta^{n+1}) = 0.$$

5.2. **Remark.** One can show that for sufficiently large p and q the higher obstructions are trivial as well, since the homotopy groups of the fiber of p^π_n do not contain r-torsions for large r. This would give a result similar to Theorem 4.6 which does not cover small values of p.

We denote by $Z(m)$, $I(m)$, $\epsilon_m : \mathbb{Z}(m) \to \mathbb{Z}$, and β_m, the group ring $\mathbb{Z}Z_m$, the augmentation ideal, the augmentation, and the Berstein-Schwarz class for $\mathbb{Z}_m$ respectively. Let $t_m = \sum_{g\in\mathbb{Z}_m} g \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. We use the same notation t_m for a constant map $t_m : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{Z}(m)$ with the value t_m. We note that the group of invariants of $\mathbb{Z}(m)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by t_m. Thus, $H^0(\mathbb{Z}_m; \mathbb{Z}(m)) = \mathbb{Z}$.

5.3. **Proposition.** *Let β_p denote the Berstein-Schwarz class for the group $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then β_p has order p and hence is q-divisible for any q relatively prime to p.*

Proof. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a generator. We note that

$$H^0(\mathbb{Z}_p; \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p) = (\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mathbb{Z}\langle 1 + t + \cdots + t^{p-1}\rangle$$

is the group of invariants which is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p$ generated by $1 + t + \cdots + t^{p-1}$. Then the augmentation homomorphism $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}$ induces a homomorphism $\epsilon_* : H^0(\mathbb{Z}_p; \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^0(\mathbb{Z}_p; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ that takes the generator $1 + t + \cdots + t^{p-1}$ to p. Thus, $p\beta_p = p\delta(1) = \delta(p) = 0$.

Note that β_p generates a subgroup G of order p in $H^1(\pi; I(\mathbb{Z}\pi))$. Therefore it is q-divisible for q with $(p, q) = 1$. □

We recall that the cross product

$$H^i(X; M) \times H^j(X'; M') \to H^{i+j}(X \times X'; M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M')$$

is defined for any $\pi_1(X)$-module M and $\pi_1(X')$-module M'. Also we note that

$$H^i(X; M \oplus M') = H^i(X; M) \oplus H^i(X; M').$$

5.4. **Proposition.** *For relatively prime p and q there are $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the Berstein-Schwarz class β_{pq} is the image of the class*

$$(\beta_p \times l, k \times \beta_q) \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}; I(p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(q)) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}; \mathbb{Z}(p) \otimes I(q))$$

under the coefficient homomorphism

$$\phi : I(p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(q) \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}(p) \otimes I(q) \to I(pq) \subset \mathbb{Z}(pq) = \mathbb{Z}(p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(q)$$

defined by the inclusions of the direct summands into $\mathbb{Z}(p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(q)$ and the summation.

Proof. Let k and l be such that $kp + lq = 1$.

The addition in $\mathbb{Z}(pq)$ defines the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I(pq) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}(pq) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{pq}} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \phi\uparrow & & +\uparrow & & \epsilon_p+\epsilon_q\uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(p)\otimes I(q) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}(pq)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(pq) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{Z}(q)\oplus\mathbb{Z}_(p) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

which defines a commutative square for cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},I(pq)) \\ \epsilon_*\uparrow & & \phi_*\uparrow \\ H^0(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},\mathbb{Z}(p)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(q)) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(p)\otimes I(q)). \end{array}$$

The homomorphism $\theta : \mathbb{Z}(pq) \to \mathbb{Z}(p)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(q))$ defined on the basis as $\theta(a\times b) = (lt_q, kt_p)$ is a cochain since it is $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$-equivariant. It is a cocycle, since it is constant. Note that $(\epsilon_p+\epsilon_q)\circ\theta(a\times b) = kp+lq = 1$ for any $a\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $b\in\mathbb{Z}_q$. This means that the cohomology class $[\theta]$ is taken by ϵ_* to a generator $1\in H^0(\mathbb{Z}_{pq};\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\beta_{pq} = \delta(1) = \phi\delta([\theta])$.

Consider a $\mathbb{Z}(pq)$-homomorphism $\bar\theta : \mathbb{Z}(p)\times\mathbb{Z}(q) \to \mathbb{Z}(pq)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(pq)$ defined by the formula $\bar\theta(a\times b) = (a\times lt_q, kt_p\times b)$. Since $\alpha(\bar\theta) = \theta$, by the Snake Lemma $\delta'([\theta])$ is defined by the 1-cocycle $\delta(\bar\theta) : C_1 \to I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(p)\otimes I(q)$. Note that the cellular 1-dimensional chain group C_1 is defined the Cayley graph C of $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. Thus, $C = C^p\times\mathbb{Z}_q\cup\mathbb{Z}_p\times C^q$ where C^p and C^q are the Cayley graphs (cycles) for $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q$ respectively. Note that

$$\delta(\bar\theta)([a_1,a_2]\times b) = \bar\theta((a_2-a_1)\times b = \bar\theta(a_2\times b - \bar\theta(a_1\times b)$$
$$= (a_2\times lt_q, kt_p\times b) - (a_1\times lt_q, kt_p\times b) = ((a_2-a_1)\times lt_q, 0) =$$
$$(\beta_p\times lt_q)([a_1,a_2]\times b) = (\beta_p\times lt_q, kt_p\times\beta_q)([a_1,a_2]\times b).$$

Similarly, we have the equality for edges of the type $a\times[b_1,b_2]$. Here β_p and β_q denote the canonical cochains that define the Berstein-Schwarz classes of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q$.

Thus, $\delta'([\theta]) = (\beta_p\times l, k\times\beta_q)$ in

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q))\oplus H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},\mathbb{Z}(p)\otimes I(q)) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{pq},I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)\oplus\mathbb{Z}(p)\otimes I(q)).$$

□

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.** We show that $u^*(\beta_{pq}^{n+1}) = 0$ where

$$u = i_p\times i_q : L_p^n\times L_q^n \to B\mathbb{Z}_p\times B\mathbb{Z}_q = B\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$$

is the inclusion. Note that $(\beta_p\times lt_q, kt_p\times\beta_q) = \beta_p\times lt_q + kt_p\times\beta_q$. Thus, it suffices to show that $u^*(\beta_p\times lt_q + kt_p\times\beta_q)^{n+1} = 0$. Note that

$$u^*(\beta_p\times l + k\times\beta_q) = i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l + k\times i_q^*(\beta_q).$$

Then $(i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l + k\times i_q^*(\beta_q))^{n+1} = (i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l)^{n+1} + (k\times i_q^*(\beta_q)^{n+1} + F$ where F consists of monomials containing both factors.

Claim 1: $(i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l)^{n+1} = 0$ and $(k\times i_q^*(\beta_q))^{n+1} = 0$.

Proof: There is an automorphism of the coefficients

$$(I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q))\otimes\cdots\otimes(I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)) \to I(p)\otimes\cdots\otimes I(p)\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbb{Z}(q)$$

that takes $(i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l)^{n+1}$ to $(i_p^*(\beta_p)^{n+1}\times l^{n+1}=0$. Similarly, $(k\times i_q^*(\beta_q)^{n+1}=0$.

Claim 2: $(i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l)A(k\times i_q^*(\beta_q))=0$ for any A.

Proof: Indeed, since $i_p^*(\beta_p)$ is divisible by q (see Proposition 5.3),

$$(i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l)A(k\times i_q^*(\beta_q))=(\frac{1}{q}(i_p^*(\beta_p)\times l))Aq(k\times i_q^*(\beta_q))=0.$$

Thus, $F=0$ and the result follows. □

6. On the category of *ko*-inessential manifolds

6.1. **Deformation into the $(n-2)$-dimensional skeleton.** We recall that a classifying map $u:M\to B\pi$ of a closed orientable n-manifold M can be deformed into the $(n-1)$-skeleton $B\pi^{(n-1)}$ if and only if $u_*([M])\neq 0$ where $[M]\in H_n(M;\mathbb{Z})$ denotes an integral fundamental class [Ba]. In [BD] we proved the following proposition which sets the stage for computation of the second obstruction.

6.1. **Proposition.** *Every inessential n-manifold M with a fixed CW structure on it admits a classifying map $u:M\to B\pi$ with $u(M)\subset B\pi^{(n-1)}$ and $u(M^{(n-1)})\subset B\pi^{(n-2)}$.*

We postpone the proof of the following lemma to the end of the section.

6.2. **Lemma.** *For any group π and a CW complex $B\pi$, for $n\geq 5$, the homomorphism induced by the quotient map*

$$p_*:\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})\to\pi_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)})$$

factors through the group of coinvariants, $p_*=\bar{p}_*\circ q_*$,

$$\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})\xrightarrow{q_*}\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})_\pi\xrightarrow{\bar{p}_*}\pi_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)})$$

where $\bar{p}_*$ is injective.

We recall that for a π-module M the group of coinvariants is $M\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}\mathbb{Z}$.

6.3. **Remark.** In the proof of Lemma 4.1 [BD] it was stated erroneously that $\bar{p}_*$ is bijective. This has not affect on the proof of the lemma, since the injectivity of $barp_*$ is sufficient for that to work.

6.4. **Theorem.** *Let M be an n-manifold with a CW complex structure with one top dimensional cell. Suppose that a classifying map $u:M\to B\pi$ satisfies the condition $u(M^{(n-1)})\subset B\pi^{(n-2)}$ and let $\bar{u}:M/M^{(n-1)}=S^n\to B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}$ be the induced map. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) There is a deformation of u in $B\pi$ to a map $f:M\to B\pi^{(n-2)}$;

(2) $\bar{u}_*(1)=0$ in $\pi_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)})$ where $1\in\mathbb{Z}=\pi_n(S^n)$.

Proof. The primary obstruction to deforming u to $B\pi^{(n-2)}$ is defined by the cocycle

$$c_u=u_*:\pi_n(M,M^{(n-1)})\to\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})$$

with the cohomology class $o_u=[c_u]\in H^n(M;\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)}))$. By Poincaré duality o_u is dual to the homology class $PD(o_u)\in H_0(M;\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)}))=\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})_\pi$ represented by $q_*u_*(1)$ where

$$q_*:\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})\to\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})_\pi$$

is the projection onto the group of coinvariants and

$$u_*:\pi_n(M,M^{(n-1)})=\mathbb{Z}\to\pi_n(B\pi,B\pi^{(n-2)})$$

is induced by u. We note that $\pi_n(B\pi, B\pi^{(n-2)}) = \pi_n(E\pi, E\pi^{(n-2)})$. By Theorem 6.2 the homomorphism $\bar{p}_*$ is injective. Hence $\bar{p}_* q_* u_*(1) = 0$ if and only if $o_u = 0$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_n(M, M^{(n-1)}) & \xrightarrow{u_*} & \pi_n(B\pi, B\pi^{(n-2)}) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \pi_n(B\pi, B\pi^{(n-2)}) \\ =\downarrow & & & & \bar{p}_*\downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{=} & \pi_n(M/M^{(n-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_*} & \pi_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}) \end{array}$$

implies that $\bar{u}_*(1) = \bar{p}_* q_* u_*(1)$. □

6.2. ***ko*-inessential manifolds.** We recall that an orientable closed n-manifold M is inessential if and only if $u_*([M]) \neq 0$ where $[M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a fundamental class and $u : M \to B\pi$ is a classifying map. We call a closed spin n-manifold M *ko-inessential* if $u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$ in $ko_n(B\pi)$ where ko_* denotes the real connective K-theory homology groups.

We need the following proposition proven in [BD] where π^s_* is the stable homotopy theory.

6.5. **Proposition.** *The natural transformation $\pi^s_*(pt) \to ko_*(pt)$ induces an isomorphism $\pi^s_n(K/K^{(n-2)}) \to ko_n(K/K^{(n-2)})$ for any CW complex K.*

6.6. **Theorem.** *A classifying map $u : M \to B\pi$ of an inessential closed spin n-manifold, $n > 3$, is homotopic to a map $f : M \to B\pi^{(n-2)}$ if and only if $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$ in $ko_n(B\pi, B\pi^{(n-2)})$ where $[M]_{ko}$ is a ko-fundamental class.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 a classifying map u can be chosen to satisfy the condition $u(M^{(n-1)}) \subset B\pi^{(n-2)}$. We show that $\bar{u}_*(1) = 0$ if and only if $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$ and apply Theorem 6.4.

The restriction $n > 3$ implies that $\bar{u}_*(1)$ survives in the stable homotopy group. In view of Proposition 6.5, the element $\bar{u}_*(1)$ survives in the composition

$$\pi_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}) \to \pi^s_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}) \to ko_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}).$$

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_n(S^n) & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_*} & \pi_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}) \\ \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow \\ \pi^s_n(S^n) & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_*} & \pi^s_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}) \\ \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow \\ ko_n(S^n) & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_*} & ko_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-2)}) \end{array}$$

implies that $\bar{u}_*(1) = 0$ for ko_n iff and only if $\bar{u}_*(1) = 0$ for π_n.

From the diagram with the quotient map $\psi : M \to M/M^{(n-1)} = S^n$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ko_n(M) & \xrightarrow{u_*} & ko_n(B\pi) \\ \psi_*\downarrow & & j_*\downarrow \\ ko_n(S^n) & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_*} & ko_n(B\pi/B\pi^{(n-1)}) \end{array}$$

it follows that $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = \bar{u}_* \psi_*([M]_{ko}) = \bar{u}_*(1)$. Thus, $j_* u_*([M]_{ko} 0 = 0$ if and only if $\bar{u}_*(1) = 0$ for n-dimensional homotopy groups. □

We recall that spin manifolds are exactly those that admit an orientation in real connective K-theory ko_*. For spin manifolds we prove the following criterion.

6.7. **Theorem.** *For a closed spin n-manifold M with* $\operatorname{cat} M \leq \dim M - 2$,

$$j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$$

in $ko_n(B\pi, B\pi^{(n-2)})$ where $u : M \to B\pi$ classifies the universal cover of M and $j : (B\pi, \emptyset) \to (B\pi, B\pi^{(n-2)})$ is the inclusion.

For a closed spin inessential n-manifold M with $\pi_2(M) = 0$, $\operatorname{cat} M \leq \dim M - 2$ if and only if $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$.

Proof. The inequality $\operatorname{cat} M \leq n-2$ implies that the map u has a lift $u' \to G_{n-2}(B\pi)$, $u = p^{\pi}_{n-2} u'$. Since $G_{n-2}(B\pi)$ is homotopy equivalent to an $(n-2)$-dimensional complex, p^{π}_{n-2} can be deformed to $p' : G_{n-2}(B\pi) \to B\pi^{(n-2)}$. Thus u can be deformed to $B\pi^{(n-2)}$. By Theorem 6.6 $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) \neq 0$.

Now let $\pi_2(M) = 0$, and $j_* u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$. By Theorem 6.6 the map u can be deformed to a map $f : M \to B\pi^{(n-2)}$. By Proposition 4.3, $\operatorname{cat}(u) \leq n-2$. Since $\pi_2(M) = 0$, the universal covering of M is 2-connected. By Theorem 4.4, $\operatorname{cat} M \leq n-2$. □

6.8. **Proposition.** *Let $M = L^m_p \times L^n_q$, $m, n > 2$, be given a ko-orientation for some relatively prime p and q and let $u : M \to B\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ be a classifying map of its universal cover. Then $u_*([M]_{ko}) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $[M]_{ko} = (1+v)([L^m_p]_{ko} \times [L^n_q]_{ko})$ where $v \in \tilde{ko}^*(M)$ is from the reduced cohomology ko-theory and the product is the cap product [R2]. Therefore it suffices to show that $u^p_*([L^m_p]_{ko}) \times u^q_*([L^n_q]_{ko}) = 0$ where $u^p : L^m_p \to B\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $u^q : L^n_q \to B\mathbb{Z}_q$ are classifying maps. This equality follows from the fact that $ko_m(B\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is q-divisible and $ko_n(B\mathbb{Z}_q)$ is a q-torsion group. □

6.9. **Corollary.** *For $m, n > 2$ and odd relatively prime p and q or for p odd and q even with $n = 2k-1$ for even k we have*

$$\operatorname{cat}(L^m_p \times L^n_q) \leq m + n - 2.$$

Proof. In this case the lens spaces are spin [Fr] and we can apply Proposition 6.8. Then Theorem 6.7 and the fact that $\pi_2(L^m_p \times L^n_q) = 0$ imply the result. □

For $m = n = 3$ we obtain a different proof of Corollary 4.2:

6.10. **Corollary.** $\operatorname{cat}(L^3_p \times L^3_q) = 4$ *for all relatively prime p and q.*

6.3. **Coinvariants.** The following lemma can be found in [CE, Lemma 3.3].

6.11. **Lemma.** *A commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & A' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f''} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C'' \end{array}$$

defines an exact sequence

$$ker(f') \to ker(f) \to ker(f'') \to coker(f') \to coker(f) \to coker(f'').$$

Let $p : E\pi \to B\pi$ be the universal covering. Thus p is the projection onto the orbit space of a free cellular π-action. Below we use the following abbreviations: $\pi = \pi_1(B)$, $B = B\pi$ and $E = E\pi$.

6.12. **Proposition.** *$p_* : \pi_n(E/E^{n-1}) \to \pi_n(B/B^{n-1})$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Note that $\pi_n(B/B^{n-1})$ is generated by the spheres from the wedge of n-spheres $B^n/B^{n-1} = \vee S^n$. For every such generator $\sigma^n/\partial\sigma^n$ for any lift $\bar\sigma^n$ of the n-simplex σ^n, the quotient $\bar\sigma^n/\partial\bar\sigma^n$ defines a spheroid which is mapped to $\sigma^n/\partial\sigma^n$. □

Recall that π^s_* denotes the stable homotopy groups.

6.13. **Corollary.** *For $n \geq 5$ the induced homomorphism*

$$p'_* : \pi^s_n(E, E^{n-1}) \to \pi^s_n(B, B^{n-1})$$

is an epimorphism.

Proof. This follows from the obvious natural isomorphims

$$\pi_n(E/E^{n-1}) = \pi^s_n(E/E^{n-1}) = \pi_n(E, E^{n-1})$$

and

$$\pi_n(B/B^{n-1}) = \pi^s_n(B/B^{n-1}) = \pi_n(B, B^{n-1}).$$

□

6.4. **Proof of Theorem 6.2.** For $n \geq 5$, the induced homomorphism

$$p_* : \pi_n(B, B^{(n-2)}) \to \pi_n(B/B^{(n-2)})$$

factors through the group of coinvariants, $p_* = \bar p_* \circ q_*$,

$$\pi_n(B, B^{(n-2)}) \xrightarrow{q_*} \pi_n(B, B^{(n-2)})_\pi \xrightarrow{\bar p_*} \pi_n(B/B^{(n-2)})$$

where $\bar p_*$ is injective.

Note that for $n \geq 5$,

$$\pi_n(B, B^{n-2}) = \pi_n(E, E^{n-2}) = \pi^s_n(E, E^{n-2}), \quad \pi_n(B/B^{n-2}) = \pi^s_n(B, B^{n-2}),$$

and the composition

$$\pi_n(B, B^{(n-2)}) \xrightarrow{q_*} \pi_n(B, B^{(n-2)})_\pi \xrightarrow{\bar p_*} \pi_n(B/B^{(n-2)})$$

coincides with

$$\pi^s_n(E, E^{(n-2)}) \xrightarrow{q_*} \pi^s_n(E, E^{(n-2)})_\pi \xrightarrow{\bar p_*} \pi^s_n(B, B^{(n-2)})$$

where

$$\bar p_* \circ q_* = p_* : \pi^s_n(E, E^{(n-2)}) \to \pi^s_n(B, B^{(n-2)})$$

is the homomorphism induced by the projection p.

Also note that $\pi^s_*(E, E^i)$ inherits a π-module structure via the π-action.

We extract from the diagram generated by p and exact π^s_*-homology sequence of the triple (E^n, E^{n-1}, E^n) the following two diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi^s_{n+1}(E^n, E^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar j_{n+1}} & \pi^s_n(E^{n-1}, E^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & \bar K & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle p^1_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^2_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \\
\pi^s_{n+1}(B^n, B^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{j_{n+1}} & \pi^s_n(B^{n-1}, B^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

where $K, \bar{K}$ are cokernels of $j_{n+1}, \bar{j}_{n+1}$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{H} & \longrightarrow & \pi_n^s(E^n, E^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}_n} & \pi_{n-1}^s(E^{n-1}, E^{n-2}) \\ & & \beta\downarrow & & p_*^3\downarrow & & p_*^4\downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \pi_n^s(B^n, B^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \pi_{n-1}^s(B^{n-1}, B^{n-2}) \end{array}$$

where H and $\bar{H}$ are kernels of j_n and $\bar{j}_n$. Note that the homomorphisms p_*^3 and p_*^4 are the direct sums of the augmentation homomorphism

$$\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}\pi \to \mathbb{Z}.$$

The homomorphisms p_*^1 and p_*^2 are direct sums of the mod 2 augmentation homomorphisms

$$\bar{\epsilon} : \mathbb{Z}_2\pi \to \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Also note that $p_*^i \otimes_\pi 1_\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Taking the tensor product of the first diagram with $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ would give a commutative diagram with two left vertical arrows isomorphism. Then by the Five Lemma $\alpha' = \alpha \otimes_\pi 1_\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.

We argue that $\beta' = \beta \otimes_\pi 1_\mathbb{Z}$ is a monomorphism. Note that $\ker(\beta) \subset \ker(p_*^3) = \oplus I(\pi)$ where $I(\pi)$ is the augmentation ideal.

Claim: $\ker(\beta) \otimes_\pi \mathbb{Z} = 0$.

Proof: We show that $x \otimes_\pi 1 = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Let $x = \sum x_i$, $x_i \in I(\pi)$. It suffices to show that $x_i \otimes_\pi 1 = 0$ for all x_i. Note that $x_i = \sum n_j(\gamma_j - e)$, $\gamma_j \in \pi$, $n_J \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $(\gamma - e) \otimes_\pi 1 = 0$ since

$$(\gamma - e) \otimes_\pi 1 = \gamma \otimes_\pi 1 - e \otimes_\pi 1 = \gamma(e \otimes_\pi 1) - e \otimes_\pi 1 = e \otimes_{pi} \gamma(1) - e \otimes_\pi 1 = 0.$$

The tensor product with $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ of the exact sequence

$$\ker(\beta) \to \bar{H} \to \mathrm{im}(\beta) \to 0$$

implies that

$$\beta_0 = \beta \otimes id : \bar{H} \otimes_\pi \mathbb{Z} = \bar{H}_\pi \to \mathrm{im}(\beta) \otimes_\pi \mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{im}(\beta)$$

is an isomorphism. Then β' is a monomorphism as the composition of an isomorphism β_0 and the inclusion $\mathrm{im}(\beta) \to H$.

We consider the diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{K} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \pi_n^s(E^n, E^{n-2}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & \bar{H} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \alpha\downarrow & & p_*\downarrow & & \beta\downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \pi_n^s(B^n, B^{n-2}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then we apply the tensor product with $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ to this diagram to obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \bar{K}_\pi & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \pi_n^s(E^n, E^{n-2})_\pi & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & \bar{H}_\pi & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \alpha'\downarrow & & \bar{p}_*\downarrow & & \beta'\downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \pi_n^s(B^n, B^{n-2}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H. & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 6.11 implies tat $\tilde{p}_*$ is a monomorphism.

Next we consider the diagram generated by the triples (E, E^n, E^{n-2}) and (B, B^n, B^{n-2}),

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi^s_{n+1}(E, E^n) & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(E^n, E^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(E, E^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \pi^s_{n+1}(B, B^n) & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(B^n, B^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(B, B^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and tensor it with $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ to obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi^s_{n+1}(E, E^n)_\pi & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(E^n, E^{n-2})_\pi & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(E, E^{n-2})_\pi & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ {\scriptstyle p'_*}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{p}_*}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \bar{p}_*}\downarrow & & \\ \pi^s_{n+1}(B, B^n) & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(B^n, B^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & \pi^s_n(B, B^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since p'_* is an epimorphism (see Corollary 6.13) and $\tilde{p}_*$ is a monomorphism by the monomorphism version of the Five Lemma we obtain that $\bar{p}_*$ is a monomorphism. □

7. On the category of the sum

The following theorem was proven by R. Newton under the assumption that $\operatorname{cat} M, \operatorname{cat} N > 2$ [N].

7.1. **Theorem.** *For closed manifolds M and N there is the inequality,*

$$\operatorname{cat}(M\#N) \leq \max\{\operatorname{cat} M, \operatorname{cat} N\}.$$

His proof is based on obstruction theory. Here we present a proof that works in full generality. Our proof is an application of the following

7.2. **Theorem** (W. Singhof [S] Theorem 4.4)**.** *For any closed n-manifold M with $\operatorname{cat} M = k \geq 2$, there is a categorical partition $Q_0, \dots, Q_k$ into manifolds with boundary such that $Q_i \cap Q_j$ is a $(n-1)$-manifold with boundary (possibly empty) for all i, j and each Q_i admits a deformation retraction onto a $(n-k)$-dimensional CW complex.*

For $B \subset A \subset X$, a homotopy $H : A \times I \to X$ is called a *deformation* of A in X onto B if $H_{A\times\{0\}} = 1_A$, $H(A \times \{1\}) = B$, and $H(b, t) = b$ for all $b \in B$ and $t \in I = [0, 1]$. The following is well-known.

7.3. **Proposition.** *Let $A \subset M$ be a subset contractible to a point in an m-manifold M and let $B \subset A$ be a closed n-ball which admits a regular neighborhood. Then there is a deformation of A in M onto B.*

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let $n = \dim M = \dim N$. Suppose that $\operatorname{cat} M, \operatorname{cat} N \leq k$. We show that $\operatorname{cat}(M\#N) \leq k$. If $k = 1$, the statement obviously follows from the fact that M and N are homeomorphic to the sphere. We assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $Q_0, \dots Q_k$ be a partition of M into M-contractible subsets as in Singhof's theorem. We may assume that $W_0 \cap W_1 \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, we may assume that there is a closed topological n-ball $D \subset M$ with a collar in M and $D_0 = D \cap W_0$, $D_1 = D \cap W_1$ such that the triad (D, D_0, D_1) is homeomorphic to the triad (B, B_+, B_-), where B is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $B_+ = B \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $B_- = B \cap \mathbb{R}^n_-$, $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{(x_1, \dots x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \geq 0\}$

and $\mathbb{R}^n_- = \{(x_1, \dots x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \leq 0\}$ are the half-spaces. Similarly, we may assume that there is a categorical partition $V_0, \dots, V_k$ of N as in Theorem 7.2 and a closed n-ball D' with a collar such that the triad (D', D'_0, D'_1) is homeomorphic to the triad (B, B_+, B_-), where $D'_0 = D' \cap V_0$, $D'_1 = D' \cap V_1$.

We may assume that the connected sum $M\#N$ is realized as a subset $M\#N = M \cup N \setminus \operatorname{Int} D \subset M \cup_h N$ for some homeomorphism $h : D' \to D$ that preserves the triad structures.

Let $W_0 = (Q_0 \setminus \operatorname{Int} D) \cup (V_0 \setminus \operatorname{Int} D')$, $W_1 = (Q_1 \setminus \operatorname{Int} D) \cup (V_1 \setminus \operatorname{Int} D')$, and $W_i = Q_i \cup V_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$. Note that $Q_i \cap V_i = \emptyset$ for $i \geq 2$. By Singhof's theorem each Q_i can be deformed to an $(n-k)$-dimensional subset S_i contractible in M. Since $k \geq 2$, there is a contraction of S_i to a point in M that misses a given point. Hence, there is a contraction of S_i to a point in M that misses the ball D. Thus Q_i for $i \geq 2$ can be contracted to a point in $M\#N$. Similarly, for $i \geq 2$ the set V_i can be contracted to a point in $M\#N$. Hence the sets W_i for $i \geq 2$ are categorical. Let $A_i = Q_i \cap \partial D$. For $i = 0, 1$ we show that there is a deformation of $Q_i \setminus \operatorname{Int} D$ in $M\#N$ to A_i. Since $Q_i \setminus \operatorname{Int} D$ is homeomorphic to Q_i, it can be deformed onto $(n-k)$-dimensional subset S_i contractible in M. A contraction of S_i to a point can be chosen missing $c_0 \in \operatorname{Int} D$. By Proposition 7.3 there is a deformation of $Q_i \setminus \operatorname{Int} D$ in $M \setminus \{c_0\}$ onto A_i fixing A_i. Similarly, for $i = 0, 1$ there is a deformation of $V_i \setminus \operatorname{Int} D'$ in $N \setminus \{c'_0\}$ to $A_i = V_i \cap \partial D'$ fixing A_i where $c'_0 \in \operatorname{Int} D'$. Applying the radial projections from c_0 and c'_0 gives us such deformations in $M\#N$. Pasting these two deformations defines a deformation of W_i $i = 0, 1$ in $M\#N$ to A_i. Since the sets A_i are contractible, it follows that the sets W_i, $i = 0, 1$ are categorical. □

References

[Ba] I. Babenko, Asymptotic invariants of smooth manifolds. Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.**41** (1993), no. 1, 1–38.

[Be] I. Berstein, On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Grassmannians. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 79 (1976) 129-134.

[BD] D. Bolotov, A. Dranishnikov *On Gromov's scalar curvature conjecture,* Proc. AMS **138** (2010), No 4, 1517 - 1524.

[Bro] K. Brown, Cohomology of Groups. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **87** Springer, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1994.

[CE] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton University Press, 1956.

[CLOT] O. Cornea; G. Lupton; J. Oprea; D. Tanré, Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **103**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[DKR] A. Dranishnikov, M. Katz, Yu. Rudyak, Small values of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and systolic categories for manifolds. Geometry and Topology, **12** (2008) no. 3, 1711-1727.

[DR] A. Dranishnikov, Yu. Rudyak, On the Berstein-Svarc theorem in dimension 2. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **146** (2009), no. 2, 407-413.

[EMSS] J. Ewing, S. Moolgavkar, L. Smith and R.E. Stong, Stable parallelizability of lens spaces, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **10** (1977), 177-191.

[Fr] A. Franc, Spin structure and Killing spinors on lens spaces, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **4** No 3, (1987), 277-87.

[Gr] M. Gromov, Filling Riemannian manifolds. J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 1, 1-147.

[KR] M. Katz, Yu. Rudyak, Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and systolic category of low-dimensional manifolds. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), no. 10, 1433-1456.

[Ko] A. Kosinski, Differential manifolds, Academic Press, 1993.

[Kw] J. H. Kwak, The stable parallelizability of smooth homotopy lens spaces, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 50 (1988), 155-169.

[N] R. Newton, On Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category of Connected Sums, math arXiv:1205.0216.

[Ol] P. Olum, Mappings of manifolds and the notion of degree, Ann. of Math. (2) 58 (1953), 458-480.

[R1] Yu. Rudyak, *On category weight and its applications*. Topology **38** (1999) no. 1, 37–55.

[R2] Yu. Rudyak, On Thom Spectra, Orientability, and Cobordism, Springer, 1998.

[Se] J-P. Serre, Homologie singulire des espaces fibrs. Applications, Annals of Mathematics (1951) 54 (3), 425-505,

[S] W. Singhof, Minimal covering of manifolds with balls, Manuscripta Math. 29 (1979), 385-415.

[Sch] A. Schwarz, The genus of a fibered space. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč* **10, 11** (1961 and 1962), 217–272, 99–126, (in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* Series 2, vol **55** (1966) 49–140).

[Wa] C.T.C. Wall, Surgery on compact manifolds, Second Edition, AMS, 1999.

A. Dranishnikov, Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, 358 Little Hall, Gainesville, FL 32611-8105, USA

E-mail address: dranish@math.ufl.edu